

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Remote Sensing of Environment



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rse

Optical properties of Forel-Ule water types deduced from 15 years of global satellite ocean color observations



Jaime Pitarch^{a,*}, Hendrik J. van der Woerd^b, Robert J.W. Brewin^c, Oliver Zielinski^{d,e}

^a NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Department of Coastal Systems, and Utrecht University, PO Box 59, 1790AB Den Burg, Texel, the Netherlands

^b Institute for Environmental Studies (IVM), Water & Climate Risk, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1087, 1081HV, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

^c National Centre for Earth Observation, Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, PL1 3DH Plymouth, United Kingdom

^d Center for Marine Sensors, Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment, Carl von Ossietzky University of Oldenburg, Schleusenstrasse 1, 26382

Wilhelmshaven, Germany

^e DFKI Research Group Marine Perception, Marie Curie Strasse 1, 26129 Oldenburg, Germany

ABSTRACT

The Forel-Ule (FU) color comparator scale is the oldest set of optical water types (OWTs). This scale was originally developed for visual comparison and generated an immense amount of data, with hundreds of thousands of observations being gathered from the last 130 years. Since recently, the FU scale is also applicable to remote sensing data. This has been possible thanks to an optical characterization of the 21 FU colors in terms of the (x,y) CIE standards and new algorithms that convert remote-sensing reflectances (R_{rs}) from satellite-borne ocean color sensors to FU. R_{rs}-derived hue angle and FU have been recently applied with success in the assessment of color variability of lakes and specific shelf areas, but an evaluation over global oceanic waters is still missing. By clustering global climatological ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 R_{rs} with the derived FU, we obtain a set of R_{rs} to be used as optical water types (OWTs). Diffuse attenuation coefficient, Secchi disk depth and chlorophyll concentration are also associated to the FU classes. The angular distances of a given R_{rs} to the two nearest FU classes are proposed as simple and robust membership indexes, adding up to one. We also evaluate the advantages and limitations of FU and the hue angle as monitoring tools over the full marine range, from the most oligotrophic areas to the turbid and productive coastal zones. The first 7 FU indexes cover 99% of global surface waters. Unlike the hue angle, that resolves all spatio-temporal color variations, the FU scale is coarse as a monitoring tool for oligotrophic waters as all the subtropical gyres saturate to FU = 1, while the color of other seas varies across 2, 3 or even 4 FU classes. We illustrate the introduction of a new "zero" FU class that increases monitoring resolution at the blue end of the color range. Finally, we show how optical diversity varies across the color range and compare several sets of OWTs from a color perspective. Overall, we provide a valuable and self-consistent dataset that enhances the usefulness of the FU scale by converting it to useful information for the oceanographic community. This OWT scheme keeps the advantages of other datasets, like being useful to study ocean color product quality and characterize the uncertainties, but also allows to continue to monitor long-term change in optical diversity over the global ocean color. Integration into the optical modules of ecosystem models can help verify past simulations that predate the satellite age, through comparisons with in-situ FU data collected at the time.

1. Introduction

Classification of water masses into optical types has been an old practice in optical oceanography and the quantity used for classification has varied with the available technology. The first classification, the Forel-Ule scale (FU) (Forel, 1890; Ule, 1892), was developed as a visual color comparator originally conceived for inland waters, although soon after it also started to be used in sea water (Wernand et al., 2013b, and references therein). The FU scale is made of twenty-one colors across a hue gradient, from blue to green, yellow and brown (Novoa et al., 2013). Currently, > 280,000 observations over global marine areas are centralized by NOAA (https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/WOD/secchidata-format.html) and constitute the longest record of ocean color archive, extending up to thirteen decades into the past. FU derived climatological maps show patterns consistent with our current understanding of the optics of the global oceans (Boyce et al., 2012; Wernand et al., 2013b). FU observations correlate well with in-situ chlorophyll until FU = 10 (Boyce et al., 2012) and this principle was used to derive multi-decadal chlorophyll trends from in-situ FU observations (Wernand et al., 2013b).

Morel and Prieur (1977) defined the famous classification case 1 and case 2 waters, in terms of the relative amount of phytoplankton concentration and non-living material. This classification has been interpreted by many as a distinction between water where all opticallyactive constituents correlate to chlorophyll concentration and water where they do not (Lee and Hu, 2006). In the last years, the term "case 2" is being replaced by "optically complex", though keeping a similar interpretation.

Jerlov (1976) categorized waters into five oceanic and five coastal types based on diffuse attenuation coefficient (K_d) spectra and provided

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jaime.pitarch@nioz.nl (J. Pitarch).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111249

Received 16 November 2018; Received in revised form 3 June 2019; Accepted 6 June 2019 0034-4257/@ 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

maps of this classification from in-situ K_d cruise data. The popularity of the Jerlov's optical water types (OWTs) across disciplines was facilitated by their description in terms of light attenuation, a quantity with a clear meaning for all oceanographers. Ecosystem modelers often parameterize light extinction by assuming a given Jerlov water type (Burchard et al., 1999; Cahill et al., 2008; Löptien et al., 2009). It is also widely used in the field of underwater optical communications (Kaushal and Kaddoum, 2016). Solonenko and Mobley (2015) associated Jerlov's types to absorption and scattering coefficients in average marine waters after bio-optical modeling.

Moore's original OWTs (Moore et al., 2001) are based on remotesensing reflectance (R_{rs}) and have undergone modifications (Moore et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2012), being Jackson et al. (2017) the last update (J17 from hereon). Mélin and Vantrepotte (2015) (M15 from hereon) generated their OWTs from satellite R_{rs} after removal of open oceanic waters, thus increasing the weight of optically complex waters, although their dataset also included very clear waters, thus making their classification arguably suitable for oceanic waters as well. Wei et al. (2016) developed a novel quality assurance system for in-situ and satellite R_{rs} . Its concept was that the quality of a given R_{rs} needed to be defined not only after a per-band matchup analysis, but also by assessing the spectral shape. Therefore, they compiled in-situ R_{rs} of a wide range of waters, from ultra-oligotrophic to eutrophic, yellow, shallow and sediment-rich waters, and clustered them in classes (W16 from hereon).

Other than for algorithm blending, uncertainty assessment and quality control, OWTs are useful as indicators of seasonal and geographical variability, linked to physical and biological processes (Trochta et al., 2015). There have been other published OWTs over inland water for specific areas, but we do not include them in this short review as this work focuses on global oceanic waters.

OWTs classification can only be applied to satellite data if the quantity used to classify can be derived remotely. Benefits of this approach are many, as satellite data provide unique spatial coverage and temporal frequency. Wernand et al. (2013a) presented an algorithm to calculate FU from MERIS R_{rs}. By using the hue angle as FU's continuous counterpart, FU can be derived using data from any satellite sensor where a hue angle algorithm is available (van der Woerd and Wernand, 2015, 2018). Based on these cited works, hue angle and FU processors were developed for the ESA SNAP software (http://step.esa.int/main/ toolboxes/snap/). These algorithms have recently found application to study color variability of a large amount of New Zealand lakes using five years of Landsat 8 data (Lehmann et al., 2018), global inland waters using MODIS data for summer 2012 (Wang et al., 2018) and Mozambique and the Irish seas using twelve years of MODIS data (Jafar-Sidik et al., 2018). Dutkiewicz et al. (2019) incorporated an optical module to a global physics and biogeochemistry model and were able to predict R_{rs} changes during the 21st century, forced by a predicted scenario of green-house gas emissions. They applied the hue algorithm to the predicted R_{rs} and found that the color of the oceans will change unevenly. They forecasted a bluer North Atlantic, with a hue angle increase of $\sim 10^{\circ}$ by 2100, whereas other zones displayed smaller hue angle increases or decreases. Wang et al. (2019) presented a method to link combined Secchi disk depth and Forel-Ule data to absorption and backscattering, with the motivation to bridge the gap between historical and modern measurements in marine optics and build long time series, in a similar fashion as previously done using chlorophyll as the target variable (Boyce et al., 2012).

In this article, we apply the FU and hue angle algorithms to ocean color data over global marine waters, and study how seasonal variability of very diverse marine zones is resolved by them. We also provide quantification of the optical diversity of marine waters and quantify the uncertainty due to dimensionality reduction. We show that the FU scale can be interpreted as an OWTs set, by clustering all marine $R_{\rm rs}$ falling into a given FU and obtaining the mean value. If the same procedure is followed for the $R_{\rm rs}$ -derived chlorophyll concentration (C_a), as well as

other IOPs and AOPs, a reliable translation of the FU colors into modern optical variables is obtained, with major importance for the interpretation of archived in-situ FU data, as well as for a rapid interpretation of marine $R_{\rm rs}$ and FU data in terms of other optical variables.

The aims of this work are to:

- Develop a historical, FU-class based method, to study optical diversity at global scale.
- Construct a global dataset of matched FU class data with standard variables used by the oceanographic community.
- Monitor global variability of selected marine zones with the hue angle.
- Provide a new framework for comparison of different OWT schemes.

The approach is significant, as unlike other OWT schemes, the FU scale can be used to:

- Continue to monitor long-term change in optical diversity over the global ocean color by stitching together in situ FU data collected over the past century with the satellite era.
- Bridge, in a consistent manner, satellite data from two different periods (e.g. 1970–80's CZCS and 1997-onward) using in situ FU data over the two periods.
- Verify past model simulations that predate the satellite era, through comparison with in situ FU data collected at the time by using an optical module within the ecosystem model.
- Facilitate the interpretation of FU color by the oceanographic community, useful for teaching and demonstrating the concepts of satellite ocean color at global scale, using a visual index.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Satellite data

The most suited source data to study the global seasonal variability are climatological reflectances derived from a long time series. The ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 Rrs monthly climatological dataset at 0.25° was downloaded from the ftp server at of the ESA-OC-CCI site. These files are monthly composites (1997-2013) of merged sensor (MERIS, MODIS, SeaWiFS) products, where R_{rs} from MODIS and MERIS were band-shifted and bias-corrected to SeaWiFS bands and values. MODIS and SeaWiFS R_{rs} products were derived from 4 km resolution level-3 binned standard NASA products; MERIS was derived from a 4 km level-3 binning of the output of the HYGEOS POLYMER algorithm. Downloadable NetCDF files include R_{rs} available at the wavelengths 412, 443, 490, 510, 555 and 670 nm as well as Ca. Notably, the files include pixel-by-pixel bias estimates of all variables. This allows to obtain unbiased monthly estimates of each. Further information on the generated R_{rs} and C_a products can be retrieved in the Product User Guide (Grant et al., 2015).

From the unbiased R_{rs} , the absorption (a) and backscattering coefficient (b_b) were retrieved using the QAA v6 algorithm (Lee et al., 2002) and the diffuse attenuation coefficient (K_d) was derived from them (Lee et al., 2013). The Secchi disk depth was derived according to Lee et al. (2015). The derivations of the CIE (x,y) coordinates, the hue angle and the FU index are explained in the next section.

2.2. Reflectance conversion into color

The FU scale was recently revisited, manufactured and optically characterized (Novoa et al., 2013). Derivation of the hue angle and the FU index from satellite data was recently presented as well (van der Woerd and Wernand, 2015, 2018; Wernand et al., 2013a). We provide here a complete summary of the background needed in the article.

The calculations start with projecting a given spectrum (R_{rs} here) onto the CIE tristimulus space (X,Y,Z) by weighting it with the three CIE



Fig. 1. The CIE standard observer color matching functions and the sum of all.

standard observer color matching functions and integrating over the full range Λ :

$$X = \int_{\Lambda} R_{rs}(\lambda)\overline{x}(\lambda) \, d\lambda, \, Y = \int_{\Lambda} R_{rs}(\lambda)\overline{y}(\lambda) \, d\lambda, \, Z = \int_{\Lambda} R_{rs}(\lambda)\overline{z}(\lambda) \, d\lambda$$
(1)

These weighting functions are plotted in Fig. 1. Their sum, $\overline{x} + \overline{y} + \overline{z}$, is also plotted. This plot illustrates the band range to which this algorithm is sensitive.

Eq. (2) further reduces the dimensionality from three to two by normalizing the three quantities in Eq. (1) by the sum of them. Information on the spectrum intensity or brightness is lost after this normalization. Therefore, a constant spectrum (white or gray) is projected onto $(x_w,y_w) = (1/3,1/3)$. (Jackson et al., 2017). Here, division by (X + Y + Z) equals to a normalization by the sum of the three color matching functions, $\overline{x} + \overline{y} + \overline{z}$. Thus, two different R_{rs} that differ by a constant factor would be projected onto the same (x,y) point.

$$x = \frac{X}{X+Y+Z}, y = \frac{Y}{X+Y+Z}$$
(2)

Fig. 2 illustrates a given R_{rs} , projected onto the (x,y) space as point P, following Eqs. (1)–(2). The projection of a given R_{rs} onto the (x,y) coordinates is a great dimensionality reduction, but (x,y) still contain information on the spectrum shape. Points close to the white point W (lower s) have a broader spectral shape, and the closer they get to it, the more the definition of color loses its meaning. On the other hand, points far away from W have a higher saturation or purity, and they are spectrally narrower. Thus, saturation (s), and hue angle (α) can be separated by converting (x,y) to polar coordinates, setting the origin at W.

$$\alpha = \arctan\left(\frac{y - y_w}{x - x_w}\right), s = \sqrt{(x - x_w)^2 + (y - y_w)^2}$$
(3)

As every FU index has its relative (x,y) coordinates after Novoa et al. (2013), the FU of any given spectrum is calculated as the nearest FU class in terms of the hue angle. As a matter of nomenclature, we refer to "FU_n" as the n-th FU index of any of the 21 classes, whereas we write "FU = n" when a given spectrum is closest to FU_n in terms of hue angle than to any other FU index.

In the practical implementation using satellite data, R_{rs} is not a continuous spectrum but a set of discrete values as a result of the convolution with the sensor spectral response functions of each band. Van der Woerd and Wernand (2015) and van der Woerd and Wernand (2018) proposed a discretization of Eq. (1) for the estimation of X,Y and Z. In particular, for a R_{rs} at the SeaWiFS bands, the discrete integration leads the following linear combination of the bands:



Fig. 2. The CIE 1931 color space in the (x,y) coordinates. The coordinates of the 21 FU colors after Novoa et al. (2013) are plotted as blue dots. The white point W lays on (1/3,1/3). An arbitrary spectrum is represented as point P, having polar coordinates (α ,s) and laying between FU 3 and 4. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2.957 & 10.861 & 3.744 & 3.455 & 52.304 & 32.825 \\ 0.112 & 1.711 & 5.672 & 21.929 & 59.454 & 17.810 \\ 14.354 & 58.356 & 28.227 & 3.967 & 0.682 & 0.018 \end{pmatrix} R_{rs,sw}$$
(4)

where $R_{rs,sw}$ is a column vector containing R_{rs} at the SeaWiFS bands. From Eq. (4), the (x,y) coordinates and the hue angle can be obtained with Eq. (2). Van der Woerd and Wernand (2015) and van der Woerd and Wernand (2018) showed that the discrete integration to estimate the hue angle contains an uncertainty that is only partially random, and has a rather predictable shape as a function of the estimated hue angle itself. This is a consequence of the generally smooth and predictable R_{rs} spectral shape across a wide range of natural waters. Therefore, they provided uncertainty-fitted curves for the removal of this bias.

Their approach provided unbiased hue and FU estimates, but left (x,y) biased. Since we consider (x,y) and also the saturation (s) important for our study, we decided to modify the method and correct biases directly from (x,y). We used the same IOCCG synthetized dataset as van der Woerd and Wernand (2015) and van der Woerd and Wernand (2018) to perform this correction. From every R_{rs} in the dataset, the "exact" (x,y) coordinates were calculated using Eqs. (1)–(2). Then, every R_{rs} was convoluted with the SeaWiFS response functions centered at 412, 443, 490, 510, 555 and 670 nm, and from the spectra at the SeaWiFS bands, the "biased" (x',y') estimates were calculated using Eqs. (4) and (2). Fig. 3 shows the plotted errors $\Delta x = x'-x$, $\Delta y = y' - y$ as a function of x'. Similarly to what reported by van der Woerd and Wernand (2015) and van der Woerd and Wernand (2018) for the hue angle, the errors Δx and Δy are largely systematic and therefore they can be approximated by fitting functions. Here, we found that 6th grade polynomials provided good fits: $c_x = \sum_{i=0}^{N} p_i h^i$, $c_v = \sum_{i=0}^{N} q_i h^i$ (coefficients provided in Table 1), where N = 6, h is the centered and normalized x', based on the mean and standard deviation of the training dataset: h = (x'-m)/s, with m = 0.3017 and s = 0.07398. Finally, biases are corrected as $x_{cor} = x'-c_x$, $y_{cor} = y'-c_y$. For the remainder of the article, we refer to the computed quantities (x_{cor}, y_{cor}) as the exact (x, y).

From the original ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 climatological files, associated files were generated, that exported the original latitude, longitude and time variables and incorporated x, y, the hue angle and FU.These products have uncertainties though. Assuming an exact source R_{rs} , the discretization, after systematic biases compensation, leaves residual



Fig. 3. Discretization errors Δx and Δy in the computation of the (x,y) coordinates as a function of x' for the IOCCG synthetized dataset, and corresponding fitted curves c_x and c_y (Table 1).

errors, which are Δx - c_x and Δy -cy (Fig. 3). These errors are higher for green waters than for blue waters. This result can be interpreted as follows: the SeaWiFS bands are appropriate for capturing all relevant spectral features of blue waters, but for greener waters, some information is lost. Specifically, for x < 0.25 (blue waters), the following uncertainties, quantified as the standard deviations for x, y and α are obtained: $\sigma_x = 8.03 \cdot 10^{-4}$, $\sigma_y = 6.62 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and $\sigma_\alpha = 0.13^\circ$. For $x \ge 0.25$, one obtains $\sigma_x = 5.14 \cdot 10^{-3}$, $\sigma_y = 2.20 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and $\sigma_\alpha = 2.61^\circ$. Even in this last case, uncertainties can be assumed very small compared to other sources of uncertainties in ocean color.

Such uncertainties must be added to the uncertainties of the R_{rs} at sea level when these are derived from satellite measurements. Work in the framework of ESA-OC-CCI has provided uncertainty maps related to R_{rs} at each band, derived from comparison with in-situ observations. In brief, R_{rs} uncertainties were calculated for matchups to an in-situ dataset and discriminated according to Moore's OWTs (Moore et al., 2009). These uncertainties were then extended to the global map by calculating the OWT membership for every pixel and assuming the uncertainty as the weighted average of the uncertainties for all OWTs in the matchups, using the pixel's class memberships as weights. Full details can be found at the Product User Guide (Grant et al., 2015).

ESA-OC-CCI provides maps of systematic biases and RMS differences. Biases were removed from the data and subtracted from the RMS differences in the final uncertainty estimation. Resulting standard deviation maps showed some seasonal variability, that was small compared to the differences between bands. Geographically and seasonally averaged standard deviations for each R_{rs} band are $\sigma_{Rrs} = (0.0012631, 0.001063, 0.0007631, 0.00061579, 0.00051381, 0.0002132) sr^{-1}$. These uncertainties were propagated to the (x,y) and α estimations. We derived these variables and their associated uncertainties from the IOCCG dataset but now adding random and normally distributed errors with zero mean and σ_{Rrs} standard deviation to the re-sampled R_{rs} at the SeaWiFS bands, always using the coefficients of Table 1. We repeated the procedure 1000 times and obtained the uncertainties for x < 0.25: $\sigma_x = 0.011$, $\sigma_y = 0.024$ and $\sigma_\alpha = 6.44^\circ$. For x ≥ 0.25 , we obtained

 $\sigma_x = 0.026$, $\sigma_y = 0.041$ and $\sigma_\alpha = 18.38^\circ$. Thus, uncertainties in CCI R_{rs} exceed in one or two orders of magnitude uncertainties in the (x,y) and α estimations from an exact discrete spectrum, but overall the total uncertainties are low enough to obtain reliable estimates using CCI data, especially over blue waters. There, uncertainties in the hue angle are about 3% of its value, whereas for green waters, uncertainties are about 20%.

2.3. Class membership

Optical water types have been proposed as tools for algorithm comparison and merging (Jackson et al., 2017). In order to avoid discontinuous boundaries between classes, the class memberships have been suggested as weights for class-algorithm blending. Class memberships are also useful for generating mapped product uncertainties. Uncertainties are estimated for every class in a matchup dataset and are extrapolated to a given pixel by calculating the class memberships of it and estimating the uncertainty as the weighted averaged of the calculated uncertainties per class, using the class memberships as weights (Grant et al., 2015). However, the R_{rs} of an OWT dataset are not any orthogonal basis functions and correspondingly, the class membership coefficients of a given R_{rs} are not any eigenvalues. This approach is therefore heuristic and may generate methodological doubts, but still it may be applicable as a fit-for-purpose mechanism.

Unitarity is a desired property of any set of class membership coefficients. Additionally, for the sake of an easier interpretation, coefficients shall be zero for classes that are far enough from a given spectrum, and shall have non-zero values for a reduced number of classes. Here, we propose a class membership coefficient set such that, given a R_{rs}, its membership is non-zero only for the upper and lower FU classes, being their values C_U and C_L, respectively, both memberships adding up to one and being proportional to the distance in hue angle units. More specifically, for a given $R_{\rm rs}$, the hue angle is calculated, α_R If α_L and α_U are the hue angles of the nearest lower and upper FU class, respectively, then the membership value for each is, respectively: $C_U = (\alpha_R - \alpha_L)/(\alpha_U - \alpha_L), C_L = (\alpha_U - \alpha_R)/(\alpha_U - \alpha_L)$. If α_R is lower than the hue angle of FU_1 (or higher than FU_{21}), then a membership equal to one is assigned to the nearest and zero to the rest. The graphical result of these definitions is shown in Fig. 4. By definition, these classes do not carry information on spectral similarity. Every spectrum always has a total membership equal to one, no matter how the spectral shape differs from those of the FU. However, a real spectrum is never going to have a random shape. We show later in the article that the optical variability in the world's surface waters is limited and is well described by the hue angle to a first order.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Color distribution of global reflectances

The dimensionality reduction from (x,y) to the hue angle as a color descriptor implies some loss of information unless the interdependency between x and y is very strong, i.e., case 1. Fig. 5 plots the (x,y) (panel a1)) and the (α ,s) polar coordinates (panel b1)) of the ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 global climatological monthly R_{rs}. The frequency distributions of the (x,y) coordinates are shown in panels a2) and a3), whereas the respective for (α ,s) are shown at panels b2) and b3).

Fig. 5 shows that all global variability occupies a very limited area

Ι	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\begin{array}{c} 100 \cdot p_i \\ 100 \cdot q_i \end{array}$	2.9653 - 0.7786	-2.0032 -1.5604	-2.1461 1.2188	0.034326 0.44135	0.40886 -0.1067	0.091567 -0.024582	$-0.03510 \\ -0.03253$



Fig. 4. Class membership functions as function of the hue angle.

in the (x,y) and (α,s) planes, distributing along a trail from deep blue until green-brown color. More importantly, this trail is very narrow and, to a first order, it is distributed along a line (thick lines in panels a1) and b1)), evidencing a strong inter-dependency. Therefore, for a given α , an average s can be predicted. This statement is especially true for the bluest waters (panels a4) and b4)), confirming the hypothesis that a single parameter condenses well all optical information. On the other hand, the trail progressively spreads with increasing x or decreasing α , illustrating an increasing optical complexity. At some point, for green-brown waters, a given hue angle can be associated to a wider range of saturations (and spectral shapes) with correspondingly different constituent concentrations, as happens in case 2 waters. These results show that there is not a clear threshold for the separation between case 1 and case 2 waters, although the band thickness Δs appears to remain relatively stable from the blue waters until $\alpha \approx 214^\circ$, staying $\Delta s < 2.10^{-3}$ and then sharply increasing. This boundary falls in the class FU = 3 (blue waters) and could be defined as separator between case 1 and case 2 waters. Around 80% of the world's surface waters fall under this case 1 classification (see frequency distribution of the hue angle in panel b2)), including all oceans and big seas. Description of annual variability of selected marine areas is provided further on.

3.2. Class memberships based on the hue angle

Seasonal variation of the class memberships 2 and 4 (C2 and C4) is shown in Fig. 6 for the Mediterranean and Black Seas, using also the ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 global climatological monthly R_{rs} . Class memberships are functions of the hue angle. They have value one when the hue angle of a pixel is equal to that of the FU classes 2 and 4, respectively, and fall linearly to zero when the hue angle is that of the adjacent FU classes (Fig. 4). Classes are defined such as a pixel has non-zero membership for a maximum of two adjacent classes, so pixels showing non-zero values for C2 and C4 are disjoint sets and can be plotted on the same map.

The map of January clearly distinguishes between the eastern and the western Mediterranean: the eastern Mediterranean has medium C2 membership, increasing towards the more oligotrophic areas, whereas the western displays C4 membership and has higher values towards the

> Fig. 5. Distributions of the global climatological ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 R_{rs} on the (x,y) plane and in polar coordinates (α ,s). Panel a1) log-density plot of (x,y) distribution, with dot color indicating increasing data density. The moving median of y for every x as thick line, and the 25th and 75th percentiles as band boundaries, are plotted on top. a2) distribution of x. a3) distribution of y. a4) same band as panel a1) but setting the moving median of y as ordinates origin. Panels b1) to b4) are equivalent to a1) to a4) but replacing x by s and y by α .





Fig. 6. Color maps of membership to class 2 and class 4 of the Mediterranean Sea for the climatological months of January, April, July and October, based on the ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 global climatological monthly R_{rs}.

coastal areas. The Black Sea has zero values for C2 and C4, indicating a dominance of higher classes. From spring to summer, water becomes bluer in both basins, as indicated by higher C2 values for the eastern and western Mediterranean, with the exceptions of the Alboran Sea and the bloom at the gulf of Lion. The Black Sea shows increased C4 membership. In July, eastern Mediterranean waters are very blue which leads to reduced C2 membership, and more dominance by C1, while the western have higher values and almost no presence of C4 membership. The Black Sea displays the highest C4 membership values, except the northern coastal areas, whose waters are greener. In October, water is tending back towards greener colors, which translates in higher C2 memberships in the western Mediterranean, while the Black Sea starts to reduce the C4 membership and tends to higher classes.

3.3. Global maps of seasonal Forel-Ule variability

Application of the FU algorithm to the ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 global climatological monthly R_{rs} (Fig. 7) reveals a vast majority of zones falling on the lowest FU classes. 94% of the surface waters belong to FU between 1 and 4 and 99% belong to the first 10 classes. The ultraoligotrophic zones are permanently classified in FU = 1, although their extension does have seasonal variability. FU = 2 zones have an overall higher surface area. Equatorial, coastal and middle to high latitude seas occupy higher FU values. All oceanic zones are restricted to FU from 1 to 4.

3.4. Forel-Ule optical water types

The FU OWTs (Fig. 8) are constructed by clustering all R_{rs} belonging to a particular FU index. By using the climatological satellite data to build the OWTs, a good representation of average marine reflectances is ensured.

The FU OWTs nicely represent many different water types: the first numbers are typical of clear oceanic waters, displaying decreasing $R_{\rm rs}$ values at blue wavelengths and increasing at the green with increasing FU. As FU increases beyond 5, $R_{\rm rs}$ are more characteristic of green coastal waters and include higher ranges of concentrations and shapes.

Given that FU estimations can be made visually or with simple instruments like photographic cameras (Busch et al., 2016), and also given the existence of long-term FU records, it is of value to provide indicators of optical descriptors that are common in optical oceanography, like diffuse attenuation coefficient (K_d), Secchi disk depth (z_{SD}), and chlorophyll concentration (C_a).

The FU-related K_d classes are calculated by deriving K_d on a pixel basis (Lee et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2013) and clustering them based on FU. They are shown in Fig. 9. K_d increases monotonically at every band as FU increases, with a red shift of the window of maximum light penetration, relevant for z_{SD} calculations (Lee et al., 2015). FU = 1 has the minimum at 443 nm, FU = 2 to 4 have the minimum at 490 nm, FU = 5 has the minimum at 510 nm, and FU = 6 to 10 have the minimum at 555 nm.

Light extinction in the ocean is an important parameter for heat budget calculations and ecosystem modeling, and can be linked to underwater visibility. Modelers who need to assume a light extinction model can take advantage of this classification by downloading these climatological FU maps and adjusting light extinction models to their specific zone and season. If models already predict light extinction, cross-checking with this climatology can provide indication about the accuracy of the modeling.

Secchi disk depth generally decreases as FU increases (Fig. 10). The class FU = 1 has a z_{SD} distribution with a very wide and asymmetric shape due to its dead-end position, until $z_{SD} = 60$ m. Its shape suggests that it may be composed of a multipolar distribution, which may be related to separate oceans. This case exemplifies well that FU = 1 is



Fig. 7. Global FU monthly variability based on the ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 global climatological monthly R_{rs} .



Fig. 8. Remote-sensing reflectances corresponding to the first 10 FU water types, obtained from the ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 global climatological monthly R_{rs}. Bold traces correspond to median values and bands extend between the 25th and 75th percentiles. Data of all classes can be found at Table A1.



Fig. 9. Diffuse attenuation coefficient of downwelling irradiance of the first 9 FU classes, representing the median in bold line and the interval between the 25th and 75th percentiles in shaded band. For a complete description, the reader is referred to Table A2.

very ambiguous for representing an average oligotrophic ocean. The next low FU distributions are bell-shaped for oceanic waters, but as FU reaches 6, a second population appears at the left of the bell shape: these observations are linked to coastal zones with different optical properties, where sediments and CDOM reduce transparency.

The histograms of chlorophyll concentration for every FU class (Fig. 11) reveal a similar but inverted shape than z_{SD} for oceanic waters, as expected, though having a general Gaussian shape, even for optically-complex waters, perhaps suggesting that the left tails of the z_{SD} distributions for FU 8 to 10 were caused by sediments and CDOM.

Box plots of chlorophyll concentration and Secchi disk depth for every FU (Fig. 12) condense well the information in the former histograms. The progression is the expected for the blue-green range, with C_a increasing and z_{SD} decreasing until FU = 17. From this point on, C_a starts a sharp decrease. Here, very high CDOM concentrations are expected to decrease light availability for the primary producers and thus limiting C_a . z_{SD} does not show significant variations at the highest FU classes.

3.5. Seasonal variability of selected marine areas

Fig. 13 shows a map of series-averaged C_a . This map highlights the five ultra-oligotrophic gyres. These are characterized by anticyclonic circulation, downwelling and thick thermoclines. Depressed nutricline

levels limit the algal development and subsequent biogeochemical processes. While their biological activity is small compared to other areas, their vast size makes their contribution to the global productivity and biogeochemistry significant (Morel et al., 2010). Other zones display higher C_a values. Middle-to-high oceans are subject to nutrient-rich currents. Shelf seas are more sensitive to terrestrial runoff and bottom resuspension, and upwelling coastal areas are known for the high phytoplankton biomass. Enclosed and semi-enclosed seas follow their own dynamics (Colella et al., 2016; Kopelevich et al., 2004; Pitarch et al., 2016).

For a more detailed study about color variability across the globe, we defined twenty-one zones (Table 2, Fig. 13) that represent a wide range of optical variability. The most oligotrophic inner cores of the gyres were selected. Other marine zones were also included, from the equatorial pacific to mid-latitude oceanic zones, enclosed seas, shallow seas and coastal zones. For each zone, the median of all valid pixels was calculated. The goal here is to understand how the optical variability in each region is resolved by increasingly simplified optical descriptors, from (x,y) to the hue angle, to FU.

Fig. 14 plots the hue angle annual variability of all selected marine zones in Fig. 13 (see Table 2 for boundaries and nomenclature). They all show $\alpha > 229^{\circ}$, and are above the boundary between FU = 1 and FU = 2. Despite being saturated to FU = 1, all gyres present a clear hue angle seasonal cycle, with a minimum (greenest) in winter and a maximum (bluest) in summer. Lower winter hues are related to vertical mixing that allows some underlying waters, richer in nutrients, to reach lower depths. Higher hues are associated with a decline in phytoplankton, which is limited by lack of nutrients due to a strong pycnocline formation that stabilizes the water column, limiting the vertical mixing, and thus, nutrient supply to the surface. In the NPSG, nutrient supply during winter mixing seems to be hampered, so that seasonality is smooth. NA and SA, as well as both Mediterranean basins show the same trend, with maxima in winter and minima in summer, though with different dynamic ranges and lower hues, caused by a higher nutrient availability. NA and WMED have the widest variability and SA and EMED the lowest, all crossing between FU 1-3. Somewhat expected, the EQP has very little seasonal variability, crossing the border between FU = 2 and 3 across the seasons. Here, nutrients are horizontally advected to this region (Dave and Lozier, 2015), causing higher biomass and greener waters. Our data suggests that this process is somewhat higher during the boreal summer, leading to a slight seasonal cycle.

ARAB has the bluest waters in May and the greenest in August, and a secondary blueness peak in November. Phytoplankton blooms in the northwest Arabian Sea during August–September. They are driven by the seasonal monsoon, that triggers upward nutrient fluxes to the euphotic zone, forced by the physical processes of coastal upwelling and



Fig. 10. Secchi disk depth histograms for each FU class. Each histogram aggregates all z_{SD} derivations (Lee et al., 2015) from the ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 global climatological monthly R_{rs} whose per-pixel associated FU have the same value. Statistical descriptors can be found in Table A3.



Fig. 11. Chlorophyll concentration histograms for each FU class. Each histogram aggregates all C_a data the ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 global climatological monthly C_a dataset whose per-pixel associated FU have the same value. Statistical descriptors can be found in Table A4.



Fig. 12. Chlorophyll concentration and Secchi disk depth box plots for each FU optical water type. The median is plotted as a dot inside a white circle. The interquartile range is delimited by the box around the median. Whiskers extend until three times the interquartile range below and above the first and third quartile, respectively. Data beyond the whiskers range are considered outliers and are plotted as dots. Statistical descriptors can be found in Tables A3–A4.

offshore Ekman pumping (Brock and McClain, 1992).

GREE follows the dynamics of a boreal sea, displaying blue waters with the maximum hue in winter and the minimum in August, during the summer bloom. Production starts in March and increases slowly because of low temperature. The spring bloom occurs in about May. However, over the summer, nutrient limitation may set in and limit production in concert with a rapid decline in insolation. Thus, the phytoplankton in the open Greenland Sea may be controlled by nutrients replacing light as the limiting factor for phytoplankton production during summer (Richardson et al., 2005).

JAP displays a high oscillation with general characteristics of an oligotrophic sea, with higher pigment concentrations in winter than in summer, plus two characteristic blooms, one in spring, that lowers the hue down to FU = 5, and a weaker fall bloom. Kim et al. (2000) explained bloom occurrence as the result of the changing balance between the critical depth and the mixed layer depth. The different bloom intensity can be related to different light availability in both seasons.

Despite its shallow bottom, PERS shows FU values consistent with tropical and subtropical seas. The highest C_a in the open-water region of the Gulf take place in winter, while lower concentrations were observed in both spring and summer. A sharp bluing of the water in April is caused by low C_a in April, driven by nutrient depletion (Al-Naimi et al., 2017).

YELL displays a huge range of variability, from FU = 4 in winter till FU = 7 in summer. Sediment resuspension caused by seasonal currents plays a role (Yamaguchi et al., 2012) but the area is also affected by strong summer blooms due to high (and increasing) nutrient discharge (He et al., 2013). Similar dynamics are present in BOH displays, but accentuated due to a lower distance to land and much shallower waters.

BLAC is a semi-enclosed sea, affected by terrestrial influence, which leads to significant particle backscattering even in open areas (Kopelevich et al., 2004). Its color dynamics is that of a temperate sea, and is driven by Ekman pumping (Kubryakov et al., 2016), with greener hues in winter around 175°, corresponding to FU = 5, and bluer in summer, around 200°, corresponding to FU = 4.

CASP shows on average the highest hues in June and the lowest in September, consistent with previous results using three years of SeaWiFS data (Kopelevich et al., 2004), although the lack of in-situ data in their study could not confirm if the seasonal low hue was caused by C_a or other constituents.

SBAL displays seasonal dynamics influenced by an intense summer bloom (Pitarch et al., 2016) that leads to a green-brown color (FU = 8–9). The rest of the year, color is dominated by high amounts of CDOM. Note here the absence of data during the darkest winter months due to low sun zenith angles.

Finally, two edge examples have been found at AZOV and PLAT, known for the high turbidity of their waters. While AZOV shows the highest hues in May and the lowest in August, PLAT shows the highest FU in July and lowest in February. In both cases, seasonality is likely to be a mixture of river-borne sediments and phytoplankton phenology. Dogliotti et al. (2016) documented a seasonal cycle of turbidity at the



Fig. 13. Selected marine zones for the study of the seasonal color variability, over a map of the series-averaged chlorophyll-a concentration.

Table 2

Acronym and bounding coordinates of the study zones (see Fig. 13).

· ·					
Zone	Acronym	East (° E)	West (° E)	South (° E)	North (° N)
North Atlantic subtropical gyre	NASG	-65	-52	24	26.5
South Atlantic subtropical gyre	SASG	- 30	-23	-19	-15.5
North Pacific subtropical gyre	NPSG	148	160	11	15
South Pacific subtropical gyre	SPSG	-126	-112	- 29	-23
Indian Sea gyre	IND	75	87	- 29	-24.5
North Atlantic	NA	- 45	-20	37	44
South Atlantic	SA	-40	0	- 44	- 37
Equatorial Pacific	EQP	-160	-120	-2	2
Off south east Greenland	GREE	-32	-25	60	67
Eastern Mediterranean Sea	EMED	24	33	32.5	34
Western Mediterranean Sea	WMED	4.5	7.5	38	41.5
Black Sea	BLAC	42.2	44	30	38
Persian gulf	PERS	52.4	53.8	25	26.2
Arabian Sea	ARAB	54	63	10.5	14.5
Caspian Sea	CASP	47	54	35	47
Yellow Sea	YELL	121.5	125.5	33	35.5
Southern Baltic Sea	BAL	19.6	20.8	57	59.5
Azov Sea	AZOV	36.2	37.4	45.5	46.3
Off Plata river estuary	RPLA	- 56.7	-55.8	- 35.5	- 35.1
Bohai Sea	BOH	119.8	121.2	38.2	39.2

southern end of the estuary of PLAT that followed the same trends as shown here.

The latter analysis showed the ability of the hue angle to monitor ecosystem variability in a wide range of marine waters. The hue angle compresses all R_{rs} information into a single color indicator, which is theoretically enough in case 1 waters. When sediments and dissolved organic matter vary independently to C_a , the spectrum can show a wider variety of shapes. As already commented in the methods section, the hue angle does not carry information on the saturation. High saturation can happen in zones with high sediment concentrations where spectrum shows a broader shape, or high CDOM zones, where the magnitude of the full spectrum is low. Saturation can therefore be a second indicator describing optically complex waters.

3.6. Optical water types comparison

Projecting global R_{rs} and various OWTs on the CIE (x,y) space provides a good graphical assessment of how the latter are representative of the former. There is however, not a clear criterion to judge the goodness of any OWT set applied over a given dataset. So far, their definition and their number has remained arbitrary. Generally, if the purpose is to describe ecosystem variability, the OWTs must cover all the observed variability and have a fine enough resolution across the dynamic range. If the purpose is algorithm delimitation, the number of classes can be tailored to the number of applicable algorithms. Although there is an increasing number of OWTs sets for marine and inland waters, or for specific regions, we restrict our study to those



Fig. 14. Hue angle climatological annual variability of selected marine zones (see Fig. 13 and Table 6). The boundaries between consecutive FU classes are also indicated.



Fig. 15. Top panels show various OWTs projected in the (x,y) plane (panel a1)) and in polar coordinates (panel b1)). The log-density plot of the coordinates derived from global climatological ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 R_{rs} is shown, with the running median and the intervals determined by the 25th and 75th percentiles. Bottom panels represent the differences with respect to the running median. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

OWTs published for global marine waters, in particular for M15, W16 and J17.

All OWTs (Fig. 15) fall approximately onto the trail formed by the global R_{rs} across all the range except the original FU scale (blue). This scale was designed to visually match the hue of perceived color above water, but whose spectra do not have to match the shape of R_{rs} . Instead, the coordinates of the FU-derived R_{rs} classes (green) fall very precisely on the trail formed by the marine waters, because they were derived after clustering the same R_{rs} forming the data cloud, being the original FU classes only used as discriminators based on the hue angle. The FU R_{rs} classes cover very evenly the dynamic range although fall short in reaching the bluest waters (see insets in Fig. 15a1,b1). FU = 1 is located between the third and the fourth J17 classes.

The M15 classes were derived from global imagery over coastal waters, although their definition of coastal waters was not based on optics and included very blue waters. Therefore, their clearest class has the color of the bluest Indian Ocean waters and even of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre. At the other extreme, their highest in terms of hue angle is near FU = 11, like the turbid coastal waters of the Azov Sea.

The W16 classes cover a wide dynamic range. The bluest class has the color of the gyres, except the pacific, and the brownest has a hue angle of 39°, which represent a class close to FU = 16. This appears then suitable not only for clear marine waters, but also for very turbid and yellow coastal and inland waters.

The J17 OWTs cover the optical variability of the oligotrophic oceans better than the other OWTs because they were generated directly from the CCI global reflectances with the aim of representing the bluest waters that were missing in the original OWTs (Moore et al., 2001). At the most turbid end, Jackson et al. (2017) noticed that they had fell short in describing turbid waters, since their contribution in their dataset was overwhelmed by that from the clearest ones. For this reason, they decided to add three more spectra, consisting of sediment-laden waters of increasing concentrations. These new classes have very similar hue angle and in the (x,y), they fall nearly on the top of each other. This can be explained by the fact that same sediment type was the same, only varying in concentration. In terms of the science of colorimetry, the last three J17 classes differ in brightness but not in color.

The bluest W16 class and the three bluest J17 waters differ from the oceanic R_{rs} (see lower panels of Fig. 15). These classes have significantly lower saturation (broader spectra) than the R_{rs} they should be representing. This result is unexpected for J17, as J17 OWT were generated from OC-CCI v2.0 R_{rs} , as the marine data of this article. A

possible explanation might be related to the training data extraction in that J17, coming from four daily product file per year of the OC-CCI series, one in the middle of each season, and geographically sampled from a series of two-by-two degree squares, distributed across the Longhurst provinces.

Higher M15 and W16 classes follow pathways with a sort of zig-zag patterns starting from the green waters, unlike FU and J17, that appear to follow a line along the trail formed by all the marine variation. This is due to the fact that M15 and W16 classes were generated from normalized R_{rs}, which made their R_{rs} dataset more sensitive to shape differences, that is different color saturations for a given hue. This pattern is even more pronounced for the W16 dataset. This finding is consistent with the fact that W16 used data collected from a number of specific sites and so might be biased towards conditions related to those sites. Interestingly, the zig-zag pattern does not exist for the bluest M15 and W16 classes, that are well confined inside the average marine variability (Fig. 15, panels a2) and c2)), proving that normalized or nonnormalized R_{rs} contain the same information in blue waters. For greener waters, there are spectra than can have a similar hue angle but different spectral shapes: see for instance classes 7 and 8 of M15: both have a very similar hue angle (215.1 and 215.6°, respectively), but completely different spectral shapes: class 7 is characteristic of coastal zones with moderate chlorophyll and little sediment, while class 8 can be related to little chlorophyll but higher sediment concentrations. With their classification based on non-normalized Rrs, J17 obtain a single class in this region (their number 9) whose spectra looks somewhat in the middle of classes 7 and 8 of M15.

3.7. Forel-Ule scale expansion in blue waters

The previous sections have shown how the FU OWTs exceed the dynamic range of marine waters at the green-yellow end, but fall short at the bluest waters. This feature is nevertheless not a shortcoming for algorithm determination. J17 found that the optimal chlorophyll-a algorithm for their first seven classes was OCI (Hu et al., 2012). However, if the purpose is to monitor variability, then additional resolution can be added, by the addition of a new bluest class, "FU₀". Here, we provide a demonstrative example of this addition. First step is to assign a hue angle to this new class. Based on Fig. 14, we choose the boundary between the classes FU₁ and FU₀ at 232°, that lays in the middle of the variability within the gyres. Thus, 232° is the point of equal distance between FU₁ and FU₀, which leads to $\alpha_0 = 234.55^\circ$. Interestingly, this value is above the maximum recorded, which will avoid class memberships saturated at the lowest FU. With this choice, even the bluest



Fig. 16. a) Polar coordinates (α ,s) of the 21 original FU classes, after Novoa et al. (2013), with derived spline curve and coordinates (α_0 ,s₀) of the new class FU₀. b) The CIE 1931 color space in the (x,y) coordinates, with the coordinates of the 21 FU colors (2013) and the new FU₀ (red dot). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

pixels will have some membership to FU1.

To fully define the color properties, a saturation value must be given. Fig. 16a shows that the original 21 FU classes follow a path in the (α ,s) plane. Therefore, we obtain an analytical expression with spline curves and extrapolate this trajectory until 234.55°. This leads to: $s_0 = 0.2621$. Conversion to rectangular coordinates leads to $(x_0,y_0) = (0.1813, 0.1198)$, shown in Fig. 16b.

The new class FU_0 has a bluish-purple color and is representative of the clearest oceanic waters. To show enhanced detail in these kind of waters, we have repeated the analysis of Fig. 7, i.e., mapping the global FU variability across a climatological year, but now with the added class, FU_0 in Fig. 17. Now the color variability inside the oligotrophic gyres becomes evident. The gyres are alternating between the classes 0 and 1. SPSG displays the highest extension of FU = 0 in the austral summer, converting almost completely to FU = 1 in winter. NPSG displays the second greatest extension of FU = 0 areas whereas the NASG is the greenest of all gyres, displaying only small extensions of FU = 0 during the boreal summer.

Fig. 18 shows climatological C_a maps for the months of February and August. The yearly oscillation is clearly evidenced here: low to mid latitudes display lower C_a values in summer and higher in winter while some higher latitudes like the North Atlantic or North Pacific display higher productivity in summer. The boundaries between the corresponding FU classes overlap in both maps and provide clear evidence that C_a is driving color at the oceanic scale to a first order. The FU scale is highlighted as an effective delimitator of regions like the oceanic gyres, the equatorial pacific, upwelling zones and oceanic fronts.

The addition of a new lower class can have benefits for tracking the progression of the surface of the most oligotrophic oceanic areas over a given time period. This could be achieved by running the algorithm on the full CCI series. On the other hand, an altered FU scale would have reduced significance when linking to historic measurements because the new class 0 takes pixels from the old class 1. Consequently, IOPs and AOPs associated to FU = 1 are altered, and shifted towards a greener type, and therefore, all figures and tables displaying aggregated quantities would need to be rebuilt. Classes 2 and higher would remain unaltered.

4. Conclusions

This work has performed for the first time a thorough evaluation of the hue angle and FU products over global oceanic waters. The projection of monthly climatological R_{rs} of selected marine zones onto the CIE (x,y) plane depicted a continuous trail, that formed a rather compact curved line for the oligotrophic zones, suggesting a good description using a single parameter (case 1) while the trail showed increasing spread as hue angle decreased, as expectable for case 2 waters. The FU scale falls short in describing optical variability of the oligotrophic zones, with all oligotrophic gyres shown as FU = 1. For mid-latitude zones, coastal zones and productive seas, ranges encompassed two, three, up to even five FU classes. We showed that the FU scale can be expanded to better characterize variability in oligotrophic waters.

Considering the FU index as a clustering variable of satellite ocean color data allowed the determination of median chlorophyll, Secchi disk depth and diffuse attenuation coefficient, for every FU, providing a physical basis for the FU scale and allowing the association of water color to relevant parameters for primary production, ocean heating or visibility. This approach is methodologically different to that presented in Wang et al. (2019), which combined radiative transfer modeling and empirical relationships to relate z_{SD} and FU data to modern IOPs.

The FU OWTs form a clear sequence from bluest to greenest waters, with increasing C_a , increasing K_d and decreasing z_{SD} . As FU increases, so does the spread within each class, indicating an increasing optical diversity and decreasing representativeness of an average class.



Fig. 17. Global FU-extended annual variability based on the ESA-OC-CCI v2.0 climatological R_{rs}.



Fig. 18. Climatological chlorophyll-a maps for the months a) February and b) August. The contour lines are the boundaries between FU classes, including the newly developed FU₀.

Comparatively, the classes proposed in Jackson et al. (2017) show similar characteristics except with a broader range for blue waters, a slight mismatch in color with respect to the FU classification, and three upper classes that do not add further dynamic range in the context of color (not brightness). Contrary to these two classifications, classes by Mélin and Vantrepotte (2015) and Wei et al. (2016) highlight R_{rs} shape differences within the average marine waters and identify clusters of similar hue but different saturation.

The FU optical water types are a clearly ordered set in terms of the hue angle. Similar first-order behavior was found for the global marine R_{rs} , which supported the definition of class memberships as functions proportional to the angular distances to the two nearest FU, having the properties of adding up to one at a given hue angle. This methodology sets the basis for an intuitive yet rigorous algorithm blending procedure.

Projection of a spectrum onto the (x,y) plane has the limitation of being sensitive only to spectral information within the visible range, with the bands 412 nm and 670 nm already having a minimal weight. An expectable effect is the possible inability to respond to CDOM changes in marine waters that are independent to C_a . Any other outside this range is not detectable.

The direct relationships between color, transparency and phytoplankton are representative of average marine conditions and thus less frequent events are not represented. Examples of these situations are glacial flour-rich lakes or marine coccolithophore blooms. In both cases, turbidity is much higher than described by the average relationships shown here, given their hue angle. This anomaly is caused by the kind of particles present in the water, that highly increase the brightness and saturation of the spectrum without increasing the hue angle very much, compared to average marine cases. If such anomalous spectra are to be included in optical water types, they can be manually extracted from selected images (Moore et al., 2012).

In this article, we have studied the global traits of optical variability. FU classes showed internal variability that is expected to relate to different zones of the ocean. Future work could aim at clustering data within each FU class and identify similarities and differences between these "second-order classes" within each FU. This approach would provide more insights of optical variability within distinct optical ranges in the world's oceans.

In addition to all the characteristics of any OWT classification (i.e., tool for uncertainty assessment and for variability monitoring), the FU scale adds the advantage of being linkable to historic measurements. This approach can allow us to continue to monitor long-term change in optical diversity over the global ocean color by stitching together in situ FU data collected over the past century with the satellite era. The approach can be used to bridge, in a consistent manner, satellite data from two different periods (e.g. 1970-80's CZCS and 1997-onward) using in situ FU data over the two periods. The FU climatology can be the basis for quality control of in-situ global FU data. For instance, in-situ values that would be highly off the climatological values could be flagged. Nevertheless, any study linking satellite FU to historic in-situ FU from visual observation will need to deal with the issue that FU observations over a Secchi disk appear greener than those over an optically deep water column (Pitarch, 2017). Another issue is that satellite FU are calculated from bidirectionally-corrected R_{rs}, whereas in-situ FU come from upwelling radiance in air, for any illumination conditions. An added difficulty is that archived FU data provide no information on the atmospheric conditions. This is likely to add unknown biases, whose effect will need to be tested, potentially using coupled atmospheric and marine optical modeling.

Increasingly, bio-optical modules are being added to global biogeochemical models (Baird et al., 2016; Dutkiewicz et al., 2019) to look at impacts of climate change. Our approach can be integrated and used to verify early 20th century model simulations through comparison with in situ FU data collected at the time.

As a final remark, the FU scale and the hue angle are powerful quantities for outreach and educational purposes, easy to understand by a broader audience. They are intuitive quantities that help provide clear messages to spread scientific developments to mass media (McGrath, 2019) and are ideal for developing citizen-science projects and events on water quality and ocean state, and engaging citizens in becoming actively involved in environmental monitoring (Citclops, 2015). For these reasons, this topic will deserve further attention by the community in the coming years.

Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received partial funding from the 'Coastal Ocean Darkening' project funded by the Ministry for Science and Culture of Lower Saxony, Germany (VWZN3175).This is a contribution to the Ocean Colour Climate Change Initiative of the European Space Agency. RJWB is supported by the UK National Centre for Earth Observation. This work continues research initiated by Marcel Wernand on historic optical observing methods, whose determination and meticulous work has inspired the rediscovery of these by the community, leading to new data and insights into ocean optics. David G. Bowers and two anonymous reviewers are thanked for their constructive feedback

Appendix A. Annex A

Table A1

Percentiles 25^{th} , 50^{th} (median, bold) and 75^{th} of the global climatological R_{rs} associated to every FU, times 1000. The median values are defined as the FU- R_{rs} OWTs. The normalized root mean square deviation (RMS difference over the mean value) is provided, as useful to show the indicating ability of the FU classes.

	FU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Rrs412	p25	11.02	7.66	5.19	3.02	2.09	1.82	1.80	1.83	1.92	1.94	1.98
	p50	12.33	8.51	6.22	3.77	2.62	2.44	2.77	2.98	3.14	3.10	3.06
	p75	13.97	9.33	7.28	4.73	3.42	3.66	4.75	5.25	5.31	4.98	4.62
	NRMSD	1.02	1.01	1.03	1.07	1.13	1.25	1.28	1.24	1.22	1.23	1.21
Rrs443	p25	8.64	6.24	4.65	3.10	2.25	1.99	1.94	1.90	1.92	1.87	1.89
	p50	9.53	6.84	5.31	3.66	2.78	2.75	3.20	3.42	3.63	3.51	3.48
	p75	10.51	7.46	6.10	4.35	3.49	4.22	5.80	6.54	6.59	5.97	5.65
	NRMSD	1.01	1.01	1.02	1.05	1.14	1.30	1.32	1.28	1.26	1.25	1.21
Rrs490	p25	5.83	5.17	4.36	3.37	2.77	2.77	3.00	3.15	3.36	3.41	3.39
	p50	6.05	5.44	4.86	3.88	3.38	3.91	5.03	5.59	5.96	5.89	5.93
	p75	6.29	5.81	5.56	4.52	4.27	6.11	8.66	9.70	9.88	9.19	8.83
	NRMSD	1.00	1.01	1.02	1.06	1.15	1.29	1.28	1.23	1.20	1.19	1.16
Rrs510	p25	3.36	3.32	3.20	2.90	2.73	2.89	3.24	3.53	3.85	3.93	3.98
	p50	3.45	3.51	3.51	3.28	3.21	3.88	5.19	5.94	6.47	6.47	6.62
	p75	3.54	3.81	4.06	3.78	3.94	5.87	8.71	10.10	10.48	9.92	9.68
	NRMSD	1.00	1.01	1.02	1.04	1.12	1.27	1.27	1.21	1.19	1.18	1.15
Rrs555	p25	1.33	1.69	1.92	1.91	2.06	2.64	3.45	4.18	4.88	5.34	5.75
	p50	1.42	1.80	2.14	2.31	2.60	3.70	5.68	7.15	8.34	8.88	9.50
	p75	1.52	1.94	2.50	2.81	3.36	5.80	9.92	12.53	13.64	13.42	13.61
	NRMSD	1.01	1.01	1.02	1.07	1.16	1.31	1.29	1.22	1.19	1.17	1.14
Rrs670	p25	0.12	0.20	0.28	0.33	0.43	0.60	0.86	1.19	1.62	2.02	2.43
	p50	0.14	0.23	0.32	0.39	0.51	0.79	1.38	2.15	2.99	3.61	4.23
	p75	0.16	0.28	0.37	0.47	0.65	1.23	2.60	4.25	5.45	6.06	6.75
	NRMSD	1.27	1.10	1.11	1.17	1.25	1.39	1.41	1.32	1.28	1.25	1.21
	FU	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
Rrs412	p25	2.12	2.10	1.82	1.66	1.45	1.24	2.46	2.63	2.67	2.73	
	p50	3.26	3.15	2.84	2.40	2.22	2.02	3.74	4.57	4.07	4.09	
	p75	4.76	4.63	4.42	4.05	4.32	4.09	6.32	6.89	5.96	6.31	
	NRMSD	1.18	1.25	1.31	1.40	1.47	1.49	1.31	1.36	1.57	1.36	
Rrs443	p25	2.03	2.09	1.76	1.61	1.39	1.21	1.12	1.32	1.37	1.92	
	p50	3.81	3.48	2.97	2.39	1.99	1.62	1.71	2.04	2.31	2.70	
	p75	5.70	5.43	4.80	3.61	3.18	2.33	2.75	3.10	3.45	3.88	
	NRMSD	1.19	1.16	1.19	1.19	1.23	1.24	1.24	1.17	1.18	1.14	
Rrs490	p25	3.59	3.59	3.19	2.97	2.72	2.49	2.15	2.37	2.43	2.64	
	p50	6.49	6.06	5.17	4.34	3.68	3.21	2.95	3.41	3.38	3.85	
	p75	9.01	8.89	7.95	6.22	5.65	4.34	4.39	4.88	5.43	5.36	
	NRMSD	1.14	1.13	1.15	1.14	1.16	1.16	1.18	1.12	1.15	1.12	
Rrs510	p25	4.17	4.07	3.72	3.52	3.16	2.83	2.45	2.74	2.64	2.73	
	p50	7.22	6.91	5.89	4.89	4.22	3.63	3.37	3.54	3.67	3.76	
	p75	9.97	9.97	8.94	6.96	6.21	4.79	4.82	5.07	5.24	5.01	
	NRMSD	1.13	1.12	1.14	1.14	1.15	1.15	1.17	1.11	1.12	1.10	
Rrs555	p25	6.18	6.40	5.52	5.18	5.06	4.84	3.89	3.47	2.80	2.77	
	p50	10.64	10.61	9.07	7.54	6.78	6.19	4.91	4.88	4.51	3.89	
	p75	14.29	14.79	13.83	10.83	9.83	8.03	7.20	6.58	6.08	5.31	
	NRMSD	1.13	1.12	1.14	1.14	1.15	1.13	1.16	1.12	1.14	1.12	
Rrs670	p25	3.05	3.59	3.76	4.25	4.67	5.17	4.51	5.20	5.09	5.97	
	p50	5.48	5.93	5.96	6.03	6.11	6.38	6.21	6.78	7.52	9.23	
	p75	7.97	8.89	9.26	8.66	9.11	8.46	9.16	9.87	10.71	14.24	
	NRMSD	1.18	1.15	1.15	1.14	1.16	1.18	1.25	1.18	1.13	1.17	

Table A2

Percentiles 25^{th} , 50^{th} (median, bold) and 75^{th} of the global climatological K_d associated to every FU, times 10. The normalized root mean square deviation (RMS difference over the mean value) is provided, as useful to show the indicating ability of the FU classes.

	FU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Kd412	n25	0.23	0.40	0.62	1.00	1.60	2 54	3 79	5.26	6.92	8 58	10.48
	p50	0.27	0.46	0.69	1.11	1.79	2.90	4.58	6.87	9.38	11.42	13.63
	p75	0.31	0.51	0.78	1.27	2.06	3.45	6.06	9.06	11.87	14.36	17.24
	NRMSD	2.19	1.10	1.13	1.14	1.13	1.12	1.10	1.08	4.20	1.57	1.25
Kd443	p25	0.22	0.38	0.56	0.85	1.30	2.05	3.21	4.78	6.47	8.41	10.39
	p50	0.25	0.42	0.62	0.93	1.45	2.32	3.77	5.65	7.71	9.72	11.80
	p75	0.29	0.47	0.69	1.05	1.65	2.71	4.86	7.33	9.56	11.50	13.54
	NRMSD	2.18	1.11	1.16	1.18	1.17	1.14	1.10	1.07	5.96	2.36	2.45
Kd490	p25	0.24	0.34	0.46	0.63	0.89	1.30	2.00	2.88	3.89	5.04	6.29
	p50	0.26	0.37	0.50	0.69	0.99	1.50	2.42	3.72	5.14	6.47	7.81
	p75	0.29	0.40	0.55	0.77	1.14	1.85	3.48	5.43	7.12	8.38	9.70
	NRMSD	1.89	1.11	1.18	1.22	1.22	1.19	1.15	1.11	3.54	2.06	1.79
Kd510	p25	0.35	0.45	0.55	0.66	0.85	1.21	1.79	2.54	3.40	4.39	5.54
	p50	0.37	0.47	0.58	0.73	0.95	1.40	2.21	3.37	4.71	5.87	7.08
	p75	0.39	0.50	0.63	0.80	1.10	1.73	3.28	5.11	6.63	7.80	9.00
	NRMSD	1.59	1.09	1.16	1.22	1.24	1.20	1.16	1.13	3.51	2.04	1.79
Kd555	p25	0.64	0.67	0.72	0.79	0.93	1.19	1.60	2.11	2.71	3.42	4.23
	p50	0.65	0.68	0.74	0.83	0.99	1.32	1.88	2.81	3.84	4.69	5.59
	p75	0.66	0.70	0.76	0.87	1.08	1.55	2.82	4.31	5.54	6.42	7.37
	NRMSD	1.35	1.07	1.13	1.20	1.23	1.21	1.17	1.15	3.01	2.10	1.74
Kd670	p25	4.42	4.44	4.45	4.46	4.50	4.59	4.82	5.78	6.42	6.98	7.50
	p50	4.43	4.44	4.46	4.48	4.53	4.70	5.66	6.40	7.13	7.79	8.42
	p75	4.43	4.45	4.48	4.51	4.59	5.42	6.41	7.43	8.32	8.98	9.73
	NRMSD	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.01	1.02	1.02	1.04	1.18	1.19	1.11
	FU	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
Kd412	p25	12.56	15.06	17.50	20.37	23.06	24.33	14.71	14.78	20.10	28.15	
	p50	17.00	19.70	23.33	28.57	35.88	44.40	25.32	30.19	33.62	47.23	
	p75	21.11	24.73	30.01	36.35	46.89	60.39	44.51	48.40	45.81	81.00	
	NRMSD	1.67	1.40	1.31	1.16	1.21	1.29	1.67	1.37	1.48	1.28	
Kd443	p25	12.97	15.36	18.93	24.12	30.63	39.77	35.75	35.42	34.58	40.87	
	p50	14.33	17.15	21.19	26.66	35.12	47.24	46.93	46.74	44.33	57.27	
	p75	16.45	19.49	23.61	29.67	39.76	54.44	59.79	64.02	61.65	89.37	
	NRMSD	1.95	1.35	1.15	1.12	1.18	1.21	1.40	1.19	1.21	1.25	
Kd490	p25	8.14	9.86	11.85	14.86	18.64	23.25	23.33	24.24	25.04	29.67	
	p50	9.58	11.47	13.45	16.45	20.61	26.40	27.61	28.84	30.03	39.26	
	p75	11.66	13.59	15.79	18.13	22.66	29.65	31.29	35.38	38.47	60.90	
	NRMSD	1.63	1.32	1.12	1.06	1.17	1.16	1.32	1.17	1.11	1.22	
Kd510	p25	7.27	8.74	10.52	13.28	16.73	20.96	21.71	22.54	23.51	28.74	
	p50	8.76	10.39	12.20	14.76	18.65	23.82	24.86	26.20	28.21	37.06	
	p75	10.89	12.62	14.67	16.83	20.62	26.94	28.69	32.53	35.65	59.13	
	NRMSD	1.76	1.42	1.13	1.06	1.17	1.17	1.32	1.17	1.11	1.20	
Kd555	p25	5.56	6.50	7.75	9.52	11.81	14.75	15.73	18.55	21.91	27.28	
	p50	6.86	8.06	9.34	10.99	13.33	16.40	18.19	22.28	25.47	36.08	
	p75	8.80	10.31	12.03	13.46	16.21	18.74	22.96	27.76	29.87	54.66	
	NRMSD	2.03	1.58	1.16	1.08	1.40	1.26	1.39	1.16	1.12	1.19	
Kd670	p25	8.19	8.85	9.60	10.71	12.22	13.91	13.05	12.82	12.92	14.10	
	p50	9.39	10.15	10.88	11.93	13.51	15.52	15.28	15.51	15.76	17.55	
	p75	10.78	11.72	12.91	13.71	15.24	17.17	17.56	19.37	19.60	25.42	
	NRMSD	1.09	1.08	1.04	1.03	1.05	1.10	1.20	1.11	1.07	1.13	

Table A3

Percentiles 25th, 50th (median, bold) and 75th of the global climatological Secchi disk depth (m) associated to every FU. The normalized root mean square deviation (RMS difference over the mean value) is provided, as useful to show the indicating ability of the FU classes.

	FU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Z _{SD}	p25	32.37	23.29	17.09	12.22	8.86	6.08	3.27	2.13	1.65	1.42	1.24
	p50	36.33	25.12	18.67	13.63	9.95	7.17	4.97	3.32	2.42	1.97	1.66
	p75	41.94	27.13	20.18	15.06	11.16	8.00	5.86	4.44	3.46	2.74	2.22
	NRMSD	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.02	1.02	1.04	1.06	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08
	FU	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
z _{sd}	p25	1.04	0.91	0.80	0.72	0.63	0.54	0.53	0.48	0.48	0.36	
	p50	1.35	1.15	1.01	0.87	0.73	0.61	0.65	0.65	0.60	0.54	
	p75	1.68	1.43	1.21	1.00	0.83	0.70	0.78	0.80	0.76	0.70	
	NRMSD	1.08	1.06	1.04	1.03	1.03	1.04	1.07	1.07	1.06	1.10	

Table A4

Percentiles 25th, 50th (median, bold) and 75th of the global climatological chlorophyll concentration (mg m⁻³) associated to every FU, times 10. The normalized root mean square deviation (RMS difference over the mean value) is provided, as useful to show the indicating ability of the FU classes.

	FU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Ca	p25	0.46	1.25	2.19	4.43	8.77	13.94	20.89	28.13	33.14	38.03	42.71
	p50	0.66	1.44	2.60	5.48	11.12	17.46	25.26	33.52	39.33	46.66	54.02
	p75	0.85	1.66	3.16	7.00	14.55	22.85	31.99	41.53	49.98	63.17	72.95
	NRMSD	1.13	1.04	1.13	1.13	1.12	1.12	1.09	1.09	1.09	1.11	1.12
	FU	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
Ca	p25	45.20	50.17	55.44	61.79	69.50	79.28	30.21	22.51	15.37	10.27	
	p50	57.85	63.99	66.21	72.65	83.52	111.07	93.54	46.12	32.20	16.33	
	p75	76.39	89.26	86.30	86.81	106.12	140.47	133.30	105.66	67.18	36.89	
	NRMSD	1.16	1.19	1.18	1.15	1.12	1.11	1.21	1.36	1.43	1.63	

References

- color: a multiband quasi-analytical algorithm for optically deep waters. Appl. Opt. 41 5755-5772
- Al-Naimi, N., Raitsos, D., Ben-Hamadou, R., Soliman, Y., 2017, Evaluation of satellite retrievals of chlorophyll-a in the Arabian Gulf. Remote Sens. 9, 301.
- Baird, M.E., Cherukuru, N., Jones, E., Margvelashvili, N., Mongin, M., Oubelkheir, K., Ralph, P.J., Rizwi, F., Robson, B.J., Schroeder, T., Skerratt, J., Steven, A.D.L., Wild-Allen, K.A., 2016. Remote-sensing reflectance and true colour produced by a coupled hydrodynamic, optical, sediment, biogeochemical model of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia: comparison with satellite data. Environ. Model Softw. 78, 79-96.
- Boyce, D.G., Lewis, M., Worm, B., 2012. Integrating global chlorophyll data from 1890 to 2010. Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods 10, 840-852.
- Brock, J.C., McClain, C.R., 1992. Interannual variability in phytoplankton blooms observed in the northwestern Arabian Sea during the southwest monsoon. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 97, 733-750.
- Burchard, H., Bolding, K., Ruiz-Villarreal, M., 1999. GOTM-A General Ocean Turbulence Model, Theory, Implementation and Test Cases. Joint Research Centre, Space Applications Institute, European Commission, Ispra, Italy.
- Busch, J., Bardaji, R., Ceccaroni, L., Friedrichs, A., Piera, J., Simon, C., Thijsse, P., Wernand, M., van der Woerd, H., Zielinski, O., 2016. Citizen bio-optical observations from coast- and ocean and their compatibility with ocean colour satellite measurements. Remote Sens. 8, 879.
- Cahill, B., Schofield, O., Chant, R., Wilkin, J., Hunter, E., Glenn, S., Bissett, P., 2008. Dynamics of turbid buoyant plumes and the feedbacks on near-shore biogeochemistry and physics. Geophys. Res. Lett. 35.
- Citclops, 2015. Citclops (Citizens' Observatory for Coast and Ocean Optical Monitoring). (In).
- Colella, S., Falcini, F., Rinaldi, E., Sammartino, M., Santoleri, R., 2016. Mediterranean Ocean colour chlorophyll trends. PLoS One 11, e0155756.
- Dave, A.C., Lozier, M.S., 2015. The impact of advection on stratification and chlorophyll variability in the equatorial Pacific. Geophys. Res. Lett. 42, 4523-4531.
- Dogliotti, A.I., Ruddick, K., Guerrero, R., 2016. Seasonal and inter-annual turbidity variability in the Río de la Plata from 15 years of MODIS: El Niño dilution effect. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 182, 27-39.
- Dutkiewicz, S., Hickman, A.E., Jahn, O., Henson, S., Beaulieu, C., Monier, E., 2019. Ocean colour signature of climate change. Nat. Commun. 10, 578.
- Forel, F.A., 1890. Une nouvelle forme de la gamme de couleur pour l'étude de l'eau Des Lacs. In: Archives Des Sciences Physiques et Naturelles/Societe de Physique et d'histoire Naturelle de Geneve, vol. 6. pp. 25. Grant, M., Jackson, T., Chuprin, A., Sathyendranath, S., Zühlke, M., Storm, T., Boettcher,
- M., Fomferra, N., 2015. Ocean Colour Climate Change Initiative (OC_CCI)-Phase Two. Product User Guide. (D3.4 PUG, Issue:2.0.5. In).
- He, X., Bai, Y., Pan, D., Chen, C.T.A., Cheng, Q., Wang, D., Gong, F., 2013. Satellite views of the seasonal and interannual variability of phytoplankton blooms in the eastern
- China seas over the past 14 yr (1998–2011). Biogeosciences 10, 4721–4739. Hu, C., Lee, Z., Franz, B., 2012. Chlorophyll aalgorithms for oligotrophic oceans: a novel approach based on three-band reflectance difference. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 117.
- Jackson, T., Sathyendranath, S., Mélin, F., 2017. An improved optical classification scheme for the ocean colour essential climate variable and its applications. Remote Sens. Environ. 203, 152-161.
- Jafar-Sidik, M., Bowers, D.G., Griffiths, J.W., 2018. Remote sensing observations of ocean colour using the traditional Forel-Ule scale. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 215, 52-58. Jerlov, N.G., 1976. Marine Optics. Elsevier.
- Kaushal, H., Kaddoum, G., 2016. Underwater optical wireless communication. IEEE Access 4, 1518-1547.
- Kim, S.-W., Saitoh, S.-i., Ishizaka, J., Isoda, Y., Kishino, M., 2000. Temporal and spatial variability of phytoplankton pigment concentrations in the Japan Sea derived from CZCS images. J. Oceanogr. 56, 527-538.
- Kopelevich, O.V., Burenkov, V.I., Ershova, S.V., Sheberstov, S.V., Evdoshenko, M.A., 2004. Application of SeaWiFS data for studying variability of bio-optical characteristics in the Barents, Black and Caspian Seas. Deep-Sea Res. II Top. Stud. Oceanogr. 51, 1063-1091.
- Kubryakov, A.A., Stanichny, S.V., Zatsepin, A.G., Kremenetskiy, V.V., 2016. Long-term variations of the Black Sea dynamics and their impact on the marine ecosystem. J. Mar. Syst. 163, 80-94.
- Lee, Z., Hu, C., 2006. Global distribution of Case-1 waters: an analysis from SeaWiFS measurements. Remote Sens. Environ. 101, 270-276.

Lee, Z., Carder, K.L., Arnone, R.A., 2002. Deriving inherent optical properties from water

- Lee, Z., Hu, C., Shang, S., Du, K., Lewis, M., Arnone, R., Brewin, R., 2013. Penetration of UV-visible solar radiation in the global oceans: insights from ocean color remote
- sensing. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 118, 4241-4255. Lee, Z., Shang, S., Hu, C., Du, K., Weidemann, A., Hou, W., Lin, J., Lin, G., 2015. Secchi disk depth: a new theory and mechanistic model for underwater visibility. Remote
- Sens. Environ. 169, 139-149. Lehmann, M., Nguyen, U., Allan, M., van der Woerd, H., 2018. Colour classification of 1486 lakes across a wide range of optical water types. Remote Sens. 10, 1273.
- Löptien, U., Eden, C., Timmermann, A., Dietze, H., 2009. Effects of biologically induced differential heating in an eddy-permitting coupled ocean-ecosystem model. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 114.
- McGrath, M., 2019. Climate change: Blue planet will get even bluer as earth warms. In: BBC News, Science & Environment,
- Mélin, F., Vantrepotte, V., 2015. How optically diverse is the coastal ocean? Remote Sens. Environ. 160, 235–251.
- Moore, T.S., Campbell, J.W., Hui, F., 2001. A fuzzy logic classification scheme for selecting and blending satellite ocean color algorithms. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 39, 1764-1776
- Moore, T.S., Campbell, J.W., Dowell, M.D., 2009. A class-based approach to characterizing and mapping the uncertainty of the MODIS ocean chlorophyll product. Remote Sens. Environ. 113, 2424-2430.
- Moore, T.S., Dowell, M.D., Franz, B.A., 2012. Detection of coccolithophore blooms in ocean color satellite imagery: a generalized approach for use with multiple sensors. Remote Sens. Environ. 117, 249-263.
- Morel, A., Prieur, L., 1977. Analysis of variations in ocean color. Limnol. Oceanogr. 22, 709-722.
- Morel, A., Claustre, H., Gentili, B., 2010. The most oligotrophic subtropical zones of the global ocean: similarities and differences in terms of chlorophyll and yellow sub-stance. Biogeosciences 7, 3139–3151.
- Novoa, S., Wernand, M.R., van der Woerd, H.J., 2013. The Forel-Ule scale revisited spectrally: preparation protocol, transmission measurements and chromaticity. J. Eur. Opt. Soc.-Rapid publications 8.

Pitarch, J., 2017. Biases in ocean color over a Secchi disk. Opt. Express 25, A1124-A1131.

- Pitarch, J., Volpe, G., Colella, S., Krasemann, H., Santoleri, R., 2016. Remote sensing of chlorophyll in the Baltic Sea at basin scale from 1997 to 2012 using merged multi-sensor data. Ocean Sci. 12, 379–389.
- Richardson, K., Markager, S., Buch, E., Lassen, M.F., Kristensen, A.S., 2005. Seasonal distribution of primary production, phytoplankton biomass and size distribution in the Greenland Sea. Deep-Sea Res. I Oceanogr. Res. Pap. 52, 979-999.

Solonenko, M.G., Mobley, C.D., 2015. Inherent optical properties of Jerlov water types. Appl. Opt. 54, 5392-5401.

- Trochta, J.T., Mouw, C.B., Moore, T.S., 2015. Remote sensing of physical cycles in Lake Superior using a spatio-temporal analysis of optical water typologies. Remote Sens. Environ, 171, 149–161.
- Ule, W., 1892. Die Bestimmung der Wasserfarbe in den Seen. Kleinere Mittheilungen. Dr. A. Petermanns Mittheilungen Aus Justus Perthes Geographischer Anstalt. pp. 70-71.
- Wang, S., Li, J., Zhang, B., Spyrakos, E., Tyler, A.N., Shen, Q., Zhang, F., Kuster, T., Lehmann, M.K., Wu, Y., Peng, D., 2018. Trophic state assessment of global inland
- waters using a MODIS-derived Forel-Ule index. Remote Sens. Environ. 217, 444-460. Wang, S., Lee, Z., Shang, S., Li, J., Zhang, B., Lin, G., 2019. Deriving inherent optical properties from classical water color measurements: Forel-Ule index and Secchi disk depth. Opt. Express 27, 7642-7655.
- Wei, J., Lee, Z., Shang, S., 2016. A system to measure the data quality of spectral remotesensing reflectance of aquatic environments. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 121, 8189-8207.
- Wernand, M.R., Hommersom, A., van der Woerd, H.J., 2013a. MERIS-based ocean colour classification with the discrete Forel–Ule scale. Ocean Sci. 9, 477–487. Wernand, M.R., van der Woerd, H.J., Gieskes, W.W.C., 2013b. Trends in ocean colour and
- chlorophyll concentration from 1889 to 2000, worldwide. PLoS One 8, e63766.
- van der Woerd, H.J., Wernand, M.R., 2015. True colour classification of natural waters with medium-spectral resolution satellites: SeaWiFS, MODIS, MERIS and OLCI. Sensors 15, 25663.
- van der Woerd, H.J., Wernand, M.R., 2018. Hue-angle product for low to medium spatial
- resolution optical satellite sensors. Remote Sens. 10, 180. Yamaguchi, H., Kim, H.-C., Son, Y.B., Kim, S.W., Okamura, K., Kiyomoto, Y., Ishizaka, J., 2012. Seasonal and summer interannual variations of SeaWiFS chlorophyll a in the Yellow Sea and East China Sea. Prog. Oceanogr. 105, 22-29.