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ABSTRACT
In this paper, we present the Event Broadcasting Service (EBS), which can be used in instrumented environments to exchange data between different devices and services. The EBS can be used with almost all operating systems and programming languages. It enables real-time exchange of large data sets and provides effective debugging tools. Example applications for smart spaces, such as a visualization dashboard and location observation, are presented.
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INTRODUCTION
Nowadays, a variety of sensors have been installed in more and more public areas, which therefore can be regarded as instrumented environments. For example, retailers are increasingly embedding technology into their supermarkets in order to improve the shopping experience of their customers and support them in their shopping process. Moreover, customers can also act as human sensors and send current locations detected by their smartphones to the supermarket’s server. This collected data could be useful for the shop manager, e.g. to send more employees to the cash points if needed. The collected data could also be deployed in other systems, for example, to personalize the content of assistant systems. To realize these goals, all information should be transmitted and received by different systems in real time. In order to manage the huge data exchange, an appropriate service has to be implemented in the instrumented environment. Due to the fact that the sensor systems in such an instrumented environment are often implemented in different programming languages, this service should provide an easy to use generic interface.

RELATED WORK
Johanson et al. developed a system called iROS (interactive Room Operating System), which can be used to transmit data between processes [1]. This system has been designed as middleware and used in an interactive workspace (iRoom) where multiple ubiquitous computing devices are connected to each other in order to help people coming together for collaborations. One part of iROS is the EventHeap [3], a communication server which is used by the computing devices in the iRoom to communicate in a client-server-architecture manner. Therefore, the data is packed into events which are serialized and transmitted through the server. A client registers at the EventHeap for the events it wants to receive. Furthermore, a TTL (Time-To-Live) can be set, so that clients who will register later can request older events from the heap. According to [3], there are Java, C++, and web service implementations, so that client processes in different languages can connect to the server implemented in Java. The authors mention that iROS is “friendly to existing languages and environments, and straightforward to support a wide range of devices and leverage their existing application bases.” Unfortunately, we could not find any newer documentation, which leads to the conclusion that the development has stopped after the publication of the paper. Also the current documentation and sources are not available any longer, hence we could not validate this system using Android devices for transmitting and receiving events.

Metaglue from the Stanford University is a distributed multi-agent system for intelligent environments implemented in Java. It shall meet the requirement to handle large numbers of hardware and software components’ interconnection [2]. It is possible to establish communication channels between single agents and maintain their state. It is also created to introduce and modify agents in a running system and to manage...
shared resources. Furthermore, an event broadcasting mechanism is part of its capabilities. To maintain the configuration and work on the running system, Metaglue has an SQL database and a web-based interface for modifying the agent’s configuration-attributes at runtime. The agents make requests in an ad-hoc direct way and can also use event broadcasting to notify groups of agents about context-shifts in room applications. For debugging, a so called “Catalog monitor” is presented which displays all running agents and their reliance interconnections. Still, the authors admit that debugging is difficult, and they hope for the Java community to make more steps into the direction of distributed agent debugging [2].

In [6], two frameworks are presented: Prism-SF, an architectural style framework, and Prism-MW, an architectural middleware framework. These frameworks can be used to describe network architectures and then work on top of this to let different components communicate with each other. According to the authors, the frameworks can be used in many types of distributed systems like client-server or peer-to-peer networks. Prism-SF provides design guidelines for composing large distributed, decentralized, mobile systems and Prism-MW is a lightweight architectural middleware supporting the implementation of these guidelines [6]. The Prism-MW framework is a composition of a large amount of classes that can be extended and connected to create large distributed systems in which all components have the ability to communicate. They make use of Java’s dynamic class loading and DLLs under Windows to add and remove communication ports at runtime. The authors claim that more than a dozen applications have been designed using various instances of Prism-SF, implemented on top of Prism-MW. The system supports PalmOS, WindowsCE and desktop platforms and even digital cameras and motion sensors. However, there is no support for Android devices.

Since all of these system are either very complex to install and configure (Prism and Metaglue) or are not available for modern devices like Android (iROS, Prism), we had to develop a new approach. This Event Broadcasting Service (EBS) aims at being easy to configure, to debug, and to extend.

**EVENT BROADCASTING SERVICE**

In order to enable an interconnection between different services in an instrumented environment, we developed an event-based communication infrastructure. For the design of this service, we devised four criteria. The developed service should enable users to easily install it and adapt their applications to the infrastructure within a relatively short timeframe. Since there is a variety of different services and sensors in instrumented environments, the communication architecture should offer interfaces for different programming languages and operating systems, especially for embedded and portable ones. In order to cope with a wide range of different applications, the communication interfaces have to be generic. Furthermore, the event broadcasting between several services should be guaranteed to work in nearly real time. Due to the huge amount of data that will be sent by several systems, a simple and easy to use debugging mechanism should be provided naturally.

These requirements can be summed up by the following keywords:

1. Simplicity
2. Portability
3. Flexibility
4. Simple Debugging

In order to fulfill our predefined criteria, we decided to implement our infrastructure as an Event Broadcasting Service (EBS). Hence, only one server is needed, to which all clients can connect using web sockets and to which they can send events. These events are broadcast to every client connected to the server and filtered on the client side. The corresponding architecture is illustrated in Figure 1.

The EBS server is implemented in Java in order to be independent from the operating system. For the events, we decided to use an XML serialization before transmitting them as simple strings. Due to this serialization, events can be generated and parsed in any programming language supporting XML parsers. For example, Java already implements such a serializer and deserializer that can also be used with Android, namely the xstream library\(^1\). To also enable sensors to send events, the EBS additionally offers a web interface for generating and sending events with primitive datatypes. For this purpose, a URL containing the event name and parameters as key-value pairs has to be called. In contrast to the iROS EventHeap, we obtain the corresponding Java instance after deserialization, where all parameters and functions can be called.

\(^1\)[http://xstream.codehaus.org/]
In order to simplify the debugging of the system, precise runtime exceptions are thrown including stack traces. For instance if the method for a HelloWorldEvent, which a client has registered for, is missing, the system outputs the error message “You forgot to implement onEvent(HelloWorldEvent event) in your callback class HelloWorld”. To make runtime debugging more comfortable and efficient, a DebugEvent-Client can be connected, which simply outputs the XML string of every broadcast event. Every event contains an ID defined by the programmer, which makes it possible to infer its origin. All clients automatically send HelloEvents at regular intervals, containing the origin ID and a list of all events they are currently listening to, which makes it possible to recognize if clients are available or blocked. Development and debugging processes are further simplified by the opportunity to specify an auto reconnect. This means if the server is lost, a client blocks its send operations until the server is available again, while informing regularly about its own connection state. This makes it possible to restart the server and the clients in a running environment.

Implementation Details
In the currently available Java and Android implementations, all events are extensions of the class Event. Events can theoretically be very complex data structures composed of various other classes. To simplify the usage of the EBS, we decided to limit the client’s functionality to a minimum, implementing only the following methods: connecting, event transmitting, and event receiving. In order to establish a connection, a client has to be created by defining its event receiving handler (callback) and a set of events it listens to. Afterwards, events can be transmitted by calling the send method, which takes an instance of an event class that is to be broadcasted. The event received on the server side will be automatically passed to the callback instances of the connected clients. The latter must implement a special method for every event they have registered for, which defines how a specific event is to be handled. These methods must be named onEvent and must take one argument of the corresponding event’s class type. For example, a client listening to a HelloWorldEvent must provide the method public void onEvent(HelloWorldEvent event) in its own callback class.

To make the system even more flexible, the server can also be extended by a callback method, which is guaranteed to be executed before broadcasting a detected event and thus allows to permit or deny the broadcasting of specific events (filter). Some advantages of this extension are discussed in the following section.

Deployment in an Instrumented Shopping Environment
The Innovative Retail Laboratory (IRL) [8] is a small experimental instrumented retail environment, in which modern shopping assistance systems are developed and tested. In this environment, we have to face the problem of many different systems (mobile and embedded systems, servers, etc.) running all kinds of operating systems, e.g. Windows, Android, Mac OS and Linux. Since all components should be interconnected, a middleware had to be developed that allows the different systems to interact. Furthermore, all products of the instrumented environment operate on a centralized database. One of the challenges to be handled in this context was the need to inform all systems in the environment of possible changes, e.g. the re-location of an object or person.

Apart from the transmission of data from sensors and systems to other systems, the current global state of the instrumented environment needs to be observed. For this purpose, we decided to set up a database containing all information concerning the current state of the environment, e.g. the positions of all objects. In order to keep this data coherent, we use the aforementioned events to update the database. Since the changes of the database are mainly simple transactions, we outsourced them to a centralized service and included it into the core EBS server. All events sent to the EBS server are directed to the Synchronization Service (SyncService) before being broadcast. An event received by the SyncService triggers an update of the database and is then forwarded to the broadcasting algorithm or denied depending on the current filter options. This guarantees that the clients are informed about a change only after it has been captured in the database. The SyncService is the only component which is capable of editing the database. Since the events are broadcast, every service in our environment will be informed about changes and can get the information out of the event or the database, which is updated before the event has been broadcast.

In our opinion, the EBS infrastructure provides a suitable approach to decoupling services from their corresponding user interfaces (UI). The UIs listen to events and display changes while the backend services receive sensory data and produce appropriate events. Relevant user interactions with sensors or UI elements also result in events, which offers all listening clients the opportunity to react to them. For example, one of our systems reacts to the presence of certain objects and displays relevant information on a screen as soon as an object has been detected at a specified location and clears this information when the object is removed again. Whenever sensors detect the absence or presence of an item, a corresponding event is sent by the sensor client and received by the user interface component, which then reacts appropriately. Using this approach, graphical user interfaces can also easily be decoupled from the sensors, which facilitates the development and comparison of different UIs on different operating systems using the same sensor data. Additionally, the components can be tested beforehand, without having real sensor data, by just sending the corresponding events and hence simulating certain state changes. With this architecture, systems can react to events sent by sensors or by simulators in the same way, which offers a comfortable way to debug programs.

APPLICATION USING THE DUAL REALITY PARADIGM
Using this architecture, a great number of events can be sent by the sensors and systems of the instrumented environment. These events comprise different pieces of information including data measured by sensors or information provided by other systems. In order to provide a representation of the detected state changes, we are developing a component aiming at visualizing the current state of the instrumented environment
using a dashboard-like metaphor. Each change of the environment detected by sensors will be transmitted via events to the EBS server, which will broadcast them to this dashboard component, which itself is registered as a client. The dashboard component aims at monitoring and controlling the services I/O behavior, which can be detected in the instrumented environment. Apart from the visual representation itself, interaction in this visual representation should have an influence on the real world, e.g., if the user changes a parameter in the virtual model, the corresponding change is reflected in the real environment. This bi-directional communication from the real world to a virtual model and vice versa is referred to as Dual Reality [5]. The dashboard component should also be implemented as a generic interface to enable the inclusion of simulators that can influence the virtual representation but also the real world [4].

For future work, we plan to run intensive tests of the server and to further extend the EBS. One such extension will be a protocol for question answering, which enables to trace possible communication problems and further improves the stability by monitoring if queries have already been answered and optionally resending the appropriate events. Furthermore, the dashboard system will be extended to visualize further events delivered by the intelligent environment as well as usage statistics.
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