

# Dialog systems

*A few search/architecture problems*

*Some issues from Verbmobil*

# SEARCHING IN DIALOG SYSTEMS

## *Architectures*

**Several dedicated components (analysis, generation, discourse, translation, ...)**

**In many parts organized in a pipe-line fashion**

**Some components with complementary/overlapping capabilities**

**Synchronisation of components may sometimes be time-critical**

**(processing of some components based on partial or incomplete results)**

## *Some search issues*

**Orchestrating related components**

- **Multiple analysis components (Verbmobil)**
- **Interleaving content specification and realization (WITAS)**

# VERBMOBIL

## *Functionality*

**Speech-to-speech dialog translation**

**(German, English, Japanese)**

**Generation of multi-lingual summaries**

## *Project goals*

**Speaker independent system for spontaneous dialogs**

**Three domains and more than 10,000 word forms**

**Average processing of four times of the input signal duration**

**Word recognition rate of more than 75% for spontaneous speech**

**More than 80% correct translations that preserve the speaker's intended effect**

**90% success rate for dialog tasks end-to-end evaluations**

# SHALLOW PROCESSING

## *Architecture*

**Rule-based system consisting of a cascade of weighted finite-state components**

**Tokenization, lexico-morphological analysis, part-of-speech filtering, named-entity recognition, sentence-boundary detection, chunk/subclause recognition**

## *Functionality, coverage, and efficiency*

**30,000 words/sec processing speed**

**700,000 full-form lexicon entries**

**(compiled from 120,000 lemmas in a stem lexicon)**

**Part-of-speech disambiguation rules compute preferred local readings**

**96% precision and 85% recall for recognizing proper names, quantities,, etc.**

**Reference resolution with dynamic lexicon of previously used abbreviations**

**Highly accurate contextual rules for filtering implausible punctuation signs**

## DEEP PROCESSING

### *Grammar*

**Large-scale HPSG grammar for German, further developed and extended**

**Hierarchy of 5,069 lexical and phrasal types, 23 rule schemata, 7 special verb movement and 17 domain-specific rules, 38,549 stem lexicon entries**

**Full sentences, maximal projections, analyses of fragments**

### *Analysis system*

**Not only full form lexica and string input integrated**

**Input items can be complex, overlapping, and ambiguous (word graphs)**

**Dynamic creation of atomic symbols**

**Flexible interfaces to external systems possible**

**(morphology, tokenization, named-entity recognition>)**

## INTEGRATION (I)

### *Morphology and part-of-speech*

**Lexicon entries marked as preferred are assigned higher priorities**

**Finding correct reading early without excluding any reading**

**Input item not found in lexicon – create default entry with preferred reading**

**Increases robustness without increasing ambiguity**

### *Named-entity recognition*

**Classes of shallow processing mapped onto HPSG types (for names)**

**Fine-tuning required when shallow and deep analyses differ in scope**

**Part-of-speech sequence used to retrieve pre-built feature structures**

**Produced by an offline processing with deep analysis and abstraction**

**More elaborate semantics without boosting the HPSG lexicon**

## INTEGRATION (II)

### *Lexical semantics*

**Most words unknown to HPSG are nouns (many of them in GermaNet)**

**Mapping from fine-grained GermaNet semantic classification onto HPSG**

**Learning mapping from GermanNet concepts onto HPSG sorts**

**76% mappings correct, 97% within the first three hypotheses**

### *Stochastic topological parsing*

**Topological restructuring of the corpus analysis (pre-, middle-, post-fields)**

**Simple (non-lexicalized) and efficient (context-free part) model**

**Nevertheless, high values for accuracy and coverage**

**Mapping of shallow topological and deep syntactic structures**

**Changing priorities in the deep parser according to the topological structure**

## EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

### *Environment extensions*

**10,000 to 35,000 stems (corresponds to 350,000 full forms)**

**Test on a Newspaper corpus**

	<i>Deep</i>	<i>Integrated</i>
<b># sentences</b>	<b>20,568</b>	
<b>avg. sentence length</b>	<b>16.83</b>	
<b>avg. lexical ambiguity</b>	<b>2.38</b>	<b>1.98</b>
<b>avg. # analyses</b>	<b>16.19</b>	<b>18.53</b>
<b>analysed sentences</b>	<b>2,569</b>	<b>4,546</b>
<b>lexical coverage</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>71.4%</b>
<b>overall coverage</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>22.1%</b>



# APPLICATION OF THE INTEGRATED ARCHITECTURE

## *Information extraction*

**Pattern-based rules yield good results for recognizing local relationships**

**Unification-based rules can handle free word order, passive, etc.**

**“According to X, Y was asked to take over the development sector.”**

**Integrating shallow (S) and deep (D) results via unification-based rules**

**S: PERSON-IN (1), DIVISION (2), D: take-over (agent (1), theme (2))**

## *Controlled language checking*

**Local agreement rather easy to verify by checking systems**

**Problems with non-local dependencies, access to grammatical functions**

**Leads to frequent 'false alarm', since most input is correct**

**Shallow checker can cheaply identify initial candidates**

**False alarms eliminated based on richer annotations by the deep parse**